

## Student Performance Report

Pelham Public Schools
Report to the Board of Education October 2022


## Strategic Plan Overview

## Goal \#1

- Cultural Competence: To cultivate an empathetic, inclusive and equitable school community that values and encourages respect, voice and agency for all.


## Goal \#2

- Authentic Learning: To develop innovative problem solvers, critical and creative thinkers, effective communicators and strong collaborators who can apply their knowledge and skills to navigate real-world challenges.


## Goal \#3

- Whole Child: To deepen our systemic academic and social-emotional supports for health, safety, and well-being of the whole child, recognizing that our learners need to balance academic, physical, social, and emotional demands.

- What is our status?
- What evidence do we have to support this?
- What are we doing to continuously improve?
- How do we measure our student progress and achievement?
- What forms of assessment are used?
- How do they provide us with data that improves teaching and learning?


## Purposes for Assessment and the Data it Provides

- "On assessment: measure what you value instead of valuing only what you can measure." -Andy Hargreaves
- The root of the word assessment is from the Latin, assidere, or 'to sit beside'.
- "Assessment is today's means of understanding how to modify tomorrow's instruction." - Carol Ann Tomlinson

Data tells us a story of student learning and growth, and it informs our decision making to support that very continuous learning and growth.

- Reflective practice for growth
- MTSS supports
- Monitoring of systems and structures


## Types of Assessment

## Formative and Summative

## Growth and Achievement

## Formative Assessment:

- Daily assessment
- Directly connected to learning experience
- Assesses understanding and mastery of skills
- Data used to modify instruction


## Summative Assessment:

- End of year assessment
- State assessments
- Aligned to content area state standards

Growth:

- Self-comparison over time (ie. current vs prior)

Achievement:

- Comparison to a standard


## Impact of Covid

- Interrupted instruction for all
- Modified learning experiences
- Changes in instructional time

| 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No Administration | $3-8$ ELA Assessments | $3-8$ ELA Assessments |
|  | 3-8 Math Assessments | $3-8$ Math Assessments |
|  | English | English |
|  | Algebra | Algebra |
|  | Earth Science | Geometry |
|  | Living Environment | Living Environment |
|  |  | Chemistry |
|  |  | World History |

- Limited experience with high stakes/standardized tests
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## New York State 3-8 ELA Assessments


100.00\%


| 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80.00\% |  |  |  |
| 60.00\% -Level 1 |  |  |  |
| 40.00\% $\quad$ - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 41.77\% |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { - Level } 3 \\ \text { Level } 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| 20.00\% | 15.82\% |  |  |
| 4.43\% |  |  |  |
| Pelham school district |  |  |  |
| Performance | District | Region | District |
| Level | Percent | Percent | Percent |
|  | 2021-2022 | 2021-2022 | 2018-2019 |
| Level 4 | 37.97\% | 19\% | 24.65\% |
| Level 3 | 41.77\% | 29\% | 36.28\% |
| Proficient (3+4) | 79.74\% | 48\% | 60.93\% |
| Level 2 | 15.82\% | 27\% | 30.23\% |
| Level 1 | 4.43\% | 25\% | 8.43\% |


| Performance <br> Level | District <br> Percent <br> $2021-2022$ | Region <br> Percent <br> $2021-2022$ | District <br> Percent <br> $2018-2019$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level 4 | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4 4 \%}$ | $23 \%$ | $46.02 \%$ |
| Level 3 | $\mathbf{3 9 . 2 6 \%}$ | $29 \%$ | $34.66 \%$ |
| Proficient (3+4) | $\mathbf{8 3 . 7 \%}$ | $52 \%$ | $80.68 \%$ |
| Level 2 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 7 \%}$ | $26 \%$ | $15.91 \%$ |
| Level 1 | $\mathbf{2 . 2 2 \%}$ | $22 \%$ | $3.41 \%$ |

Math Grade 3



Math Grade 5


| Performance <br> Level | District <br> Percent <br> 2021-2022 | Region <br> Percent <br> 2021-2022 | District <br> Percent <br> $2018-2019$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level 4 | $33.33 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $38.42 \%$ |
| Level 3 | $28.31 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $34.48 \%$ |
| Proficient (3+4) | $61.64 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ |
| Level 2 | $22.37 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ |
| Level 1 | $15.98 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $12.81 \%$ |



| Performance <br> Level | District <br> Percent <br> 2021-2022 | Region <br> Percent <br> 2021-2022 | District <br> Percent <br> 2018-2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level 4 | $\mathbf{3 0 . 5 6 \%}$ | $21 \%$ | $39.00 \%$ |
| Level 3 | $\mathbf{3 5 . 5 6 \%}$ | $20 \%$ | $37.50 \%$ |
| Proficient (3+4) | $\mathbf{6 6 . 1 2 \%}$ | $41 \%$ | $76.50 \%$ |
| Level 2 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5 6 \%}$ | $22 \%$ | $15.50 \%$ |
| Level 1 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3 3 \%}$ | $37 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ |

80.00\%
60.00\%
40.00\%
40.00\%
*Only 8th grade students who are not accelerated and enrolled in Algebra I sit for the Math 8 Assessment.


| Performance <br> Level | District <br> Percent <br> 2021-2022 | Region <br> Percent <br> $2021-2022$ <br> $(2018-2019)$ | District <br> Percent <br> $2018-2019$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level 4 | $\mathbf{1 . 8 2 \%}$ | $16 \%(17 \%)$ | $4.69 \%$ |
| Level 3 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 1 8 \%}$ | $17 \%(24 \%)$ | $31.25 \%$ |
| Proficient (3+4) | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $33 \%(41 \%)$ | $35.94 \%$ |
| Level 2 | $\mathbf{4 3 . 6 4 \%}$ | $26 \%(28 \%)$ | $45.31 \%$ |
| Level 1 | $\mathbf{3 6 . 3 6 \%}$ | $41 \%(30 \%)$ | $18.75 \%$ |

# NYS ELA and Math Assessment Grades 3-8 Summary 

## Grades 3-8 ELA

- Regional comparison:
- Consistently above region in proficiency as well as Level 4
- Pelham 2021-2022 and 2018-2019
- Proficiency:
- Grades 3-5: Maintained same levels
- Grades 6-8: Increased proficiency


## Grades 3-8 Mathematics

- Regional comparison:
- Grades 3-7: Above region in proficiency as well as Level 4
- Grade 8: Below region in proficiency; accounted for through assessment participation differences
- Pelham 2021-2022 and 2018-2019
- Proficiency:
- Grades 3: Maintained same percentage of students achieving proficiency
- Grades 4-8: Proficiency levels decreased


## Strategic Shifts

- Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS) - Districtwide Review
- Building Level and District Level Committees
- Branching Minds Adoption and Implementation
- Increased Interventions
- Addition of Interventionists in Elementary Schools
- Addition of Middle School Mathematics Interventionist
- Provision of Interventions for Parallel Groups of Students in Reading and Math
- Focused Professional Development
- Instructional Rounds
- Professional Learning Communities
- Data Teams
- Grade Level Meetings
- Progress Monitoring - Multiple Measures
- Universal Screeners
- AimsWeb
- Dream Box
- TCRWP Running Records
- Fountas \& Pinnell Benchmarking


## High School and NYS Regents Exam Performance



## Pelham Regents Diplomas Awarded

| Year | Graduates | Regents Diplomas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | 239 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| 2021 | 217 | $96 \%$ |
| 2020 | 233 | $94 \%$ |
| 2019 | 211 | $95 \%$ |
| 2018 | 206 | $95 \%$ |
| 2017 | 207 | $96 \%$ |
| 2015 | 207 | $94 \%$ |

2021-2022
English
2018-2019


| Performance Level | District Count <br> 2021-2022 | District Percent <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 0 2 2}$ | District Count <br> 2018-2019 | District Percent <br> 2018-2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scored below 55 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 3 | $1.27 \%$ |
| Scored $55-64$ | 5 | $2.45 \%$ | 9 | $3.80 \%$ |
| Scored $65-78$ | 17 | $8.33 \%$ | 30 | $12.66 \%$ |
| Scored $79-84$ | 24 | $11.76 \%$ | 29 | $12.24 \%$ |
| Scored $85-100$ | 158 | $77.45 \%$ | 166 | $70.04 \%$ |


$\square$ Scored below 55
$\square$ Scored 55-64
$\square$ Scored 65-79
Scored 80-84
$\square$ Scored 85 - 100


- Scored below 55

Scored 55-64

- Scored 65-79
-Scored 80-84
- Scored 85-100

| Performance Level | District Count <br> $2021-2022$ | District Percent <br> $2021-2022$ | District Count <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ | District Percent <br> 2018-2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scored below 55 | 4 | $1.79 \%$ | 3 | $1.22 \%$ |
| Scored $55-64$ | 13 | $5.80 \%$ | 8 | $3.25 \%$ |
| Scored $65-79$ | 90 | $40.18 \%$ | 71 | $28.86 \%$ |
| Scored $80-84$ | 83 | $37.05 \%$ | 77 | $31.30 \%$ |
| Scored $85-100$ | 34 | $15.18 \%$ | 87 | $35.37 \%$ |


$\square$ Scored below 55 Scored 55-64
Scored 65-79 Scored 80-84 Scored 85-100

PELHAM SCHOOL DISTRICT

| Performance Level | District Count | District Percent | District Count | District Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scored below 55 | 32 | $15.76 \%$ | 2 | $0.93 \%$ |
| Scored $55-64$ | 23 | $11.33 \%$ | 9 | $4.19 \%$ |
| Scored $65-79$ | 70 | $34.48 \%$ | 74 | $34.42 \%$ |
| Scored $80-84$ | 33 | $16.26 \%$ | 91 | $18.14 \%$ |
| Scored $85-100$ | 45 | $22.17 \%$ | $42.33 \%$ |  |




| Performance Level | District Count <br> 2021-2022 | District Percent <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 0 2 2}$ | District Count <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ | District Percent <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scored below 55 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 \%}$ | 5 | $2.20 \%$ |
| Scored 55-64 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $8.29 \%$ | 11 | $4.85 \%$ |
| Scored 65-84 | 83 | $\mathbf{3 8 . 2 5 \%}$ | 60 | $26.43 \%$ |
| Scored $85-100$ | 111 | $51.15 \%$ | 151 | $66.52 \%$ |

2021-2022
Living Environment
2018-2019


| Performance Level | District Count <br> 2021-2022 | District Percent <br> $2021-2022$ | District Count <br> $2018-2019$ | District Percent <br> $2018-2019$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scored below 55 | 1 | $0.44 \%$ | 5 | $1.95 \%$ |
| Scored $55-64$ | 12 | $5.33 \%$ | 7 | $2.73 \%$ |
| Scored $65-84$ | 71 | $31.56 \%$ | 61 | $23.83 \%$ |
| Scored $85-100$ | 141 | $62.67 \%$ | 183 | $71.48 \%$ |



2021-2022
Global History
Scored below 55


| Performance Level | District Count 2021-2022 | District Percent 2021-2022 | District Count 2018-2019 | District Percent 2018-2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scored below 55 | 1 | 0.44\% | 2 | 0.94\% |
| Scored 55-64 | 4 | 1.76\% | 2 | 0.94\% |
| Scored 65-78 | 60 | 26.43\% | 60 | 28.17\% |
| Scored 79-84 | 54 | 23.79\% |  |  |
| Scored 85-100 | 108 | 47.58\% | 149 | 69.95\% |

High School and NYS Regents Summary

| Exam | Grade Level Assessed | Highlights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *English | 11 | - Increased passing <br> - Increased mastery <br> - ELA Assessment experience in grades 3-8 |
| *Algebra I | 8/9 | - Spiraled curriculum <br> - First Regents Exam in Math <br> - Covid interruption created need for instructional decisions to fill gaps |
| Geometry | 9/10 | - Spiraled curriculum <br> - All students encouraged to enroll and sit for Regents exam <br> - Algebraic foundational skills necessary <br> - Covid interruption created need for instructional decisions to fill gaps |
| *Earth Science | 8 | - Acceleration for all <br> - First Regents Exam in Science |
| Living Environment | 9 | - Many students in this cohort's first Regents Exam in Science |
| Chemistry | 10 | - Single year curriculum <br> - Algebraic foundational skills necessary |
| *Global History | 10 | - 1st year with New Framework being assessedd |

## Strategic Shifts

- MTSS - Districtwide Review
- Building Level and District Level Committees
- Branching Minds Adoption and Implementation
- Increased Interventions
- Addition of Middle School Mathematics Interventionist
- Provision of Interventions for Parallel Groups of Students in Reading and Math
- Focused Professional Development
- Instructional Rounds
- Professional Learning Communities
- Data Teams
- Grading Shifts
- Department Meetings
- Curriculum Meetings
- Progress Monitoring


# In Summary 

- State assessment data provides the District with information regarding how students performed on a specific assessment on a specific date
- Information is useful, but limited
- Useful to inform instruction and curriculum development
- Progress monitoring of students and programs
- Informs strategic planning
- Helpful to MTSS

- Performance report includes summative and performance assessment data
- For progress monitoring, formative, real time assessment data and student growth are factors to develop student portfolios


PELHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS
INSPIRING A STANDARD OF EXCELLENCE FOR ALL STUDENTS

## Discussion



